CV-2016-09-3928 MICHAEL, KATHRYN 02/26/2024 15:04:13 PM CROS Page 1 of 9
CA-31008 Appeals, Court of 02/26/2024 15:04:13 PM CROS Page 1 of 9

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS SUMMIT COUNTY, OHIO

MEMBER WILLIAMS, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

KISLING, NESTICO & REDICK, LLC, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. CV-2016-09-3928

Judge James A. Brogan

Notice of Cross-Appeal

Plaintiffs hereby give notice that they are cross-appealing to the Ninth District Court of Appeals, Summit County, Ohio, from the Decision of the Summit County Court of Common Pleas that was entered on January 26, 2024, a copy of which is attached along with the attached docketing statement and incorporated by reference herein.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Peter Pattakos

Peter Pattakos (0082884)
Zoran Balac (0100501)
Gregory Gipson (0089340)
THE PATTAKOS LAW FIRM LLC
101 Ghent Rd., Fairlawn, Ohio 44333
P: 330.836.8533 | F: 330.836.8536
peter@pattakoslaw.com
zbalac@pattakoslaw.com
ggipson@pattakoslaw.com

Joshua R. Cohen (0032368)
Ellen Kramer (0055552)
COHEN ROSENTHAL & KRAMER LLP
The Hoyt Block Building, Suite 400
Cleveland, Ohio 44113
Phone: 216.781.7956 | Fax: 216.781.8061
jcohen@crklaw.com
ekramer@crklaw.com

CV-2016-09-3928 MICHAEL, KATHRYN 02/26/2024 15:04:13 PM CROS Page 2 of 9
CA-31008 Appeals, Court of 02/26/2024 15:04:13 PM CROS Page 2 of 9

Certificate of Service

The foregoing document was filed on February 26, 2024, using the Court's e-filing system, which will serve copies on all necessary parties.

/s/ Peter Pattakos Attorney for Plaintiffs MICHAEL, KATHRYN

02/26/2024 15:04:13 PM

CROS

Page 3 of 9 Page 3 of 9

Appeals, Court of

COURT20F4AFPPEALS OF OHTO NINTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

Docketing Statement

Appeal No. CA-31007, CA-31008

	4 4	0.41	O 1 • 1	4 1 • 1 1		
Λ.	time stemped	convert the	aa tinal iiidaman	t haina annaalad	t muct ha attach	ad to thic ctatamant
$\overline{}$. LIHIC-SLAHHUCU	CODY OF L	IC IIIIAI IUUYIIICII	i Deiliy abbeaicu	I IIIUSI DE ALIACII	eu lu lius slatement.
		- F J	J			ed to this statement.

A time-	stamped copy of the imai judgment i	being appealed must be attached to this statement.
	Trial Court Name Summ	it County Court of Common Pleas
Trial Court Caption	MEMBER WILLIAMS (Name of first plaintiff)	Trial Court Case Number <u>CV-2016-09-3928</u>
	v.	Trial Court Judge James A. Brogan
	KISLING, NESTICO & REDICK, LLC (Name of first defendant)	Date of judgment appealed
X Regula	AL SHOULD BE ASSIGNED TO: ar Calendar. erated Calendar. <i>See</i> Loc.R. 11.1.	R DESIGNATION volving termination of parental rights). <i>See</i> App.R. 11.2.
		E RECORD agraph that applies.
	ERK OF COURTS: Please immediatel marked accurately describes the complete	y assemble and transmit the record in this case. I certify that e record to be filed:
		inal papers, exhibits, a certified copy of the docket and at were filed in the trial court prior to final judgment.
the docket and reporter appoint	d journal entries, and a full or partial tr	s and exhibits filed in the trial court, a certified copy of anscript of proceedings prepared for this appeal by a court ith a praecipe that I also filed with this court. If only a pp.R. 9(B).
of the docket	T	s and exhibits filed in the trial court and a certified copy the evidence or proceedings pursuant to App.R. 9(C) or O(D).
of the docket by the trial co	and journal entries, and both a transcri	s and exhibits filed in the trial court and a certified copy pt of proceedings prepared by a court reporter appointed case pursuant to App.R. 9(C) or (D). If only a partial B).
•		s filed in an earlier appeal, you must seek permission from th the transcript filed in the earlier appeal.

A time-stamped copy of the final judgment being appealed $\underline{\text{must}}$ be attached to this statement. If the order appealed is not final and appealable under R.C. 2505.02, the Court must dismiss the appeal. CV-2016-09-3928

CA-31008

MICHAEL, KATHRYN

CROS

Page 4 of 9 Page 4 of 9

Appeals, Court of

CROS Please provide the following information for all parties to the proceedings in the trial court.

A party who files a notice of appeal is an appellant. A party who would be adversely affected if the judgment below is reversed should be designated as an appellee. All other parties to the action below should retain their trial court designation (plaintiff, defendant, third-party plaintiff, third-party defendant, petitioner, respondent, etc.). See Local Rule 3. If a party was not represented by counsel in the proceedings below, please provide the address and phone number of the party. If there are additional parties and/or attorneys, please copy this page, complete the information for the additional parties, and attach it to this statement. Appellant must attach a copy of any order that resolved a claim against any of the parties.

Party's name Member Williams	Party's nameThera Reid
Party's designation Appellee/Cross-Appellant	Party's designation Appellee/Cross-Appellant
Attorney's name Peter Pattakos, Zoran Balac & Gregory Gipson	Attorney's name Peter Pattakos, Zoran Balac & Gregory Gipson
Attorney's registration number <u>0082884, 0100501, 0089340</u>	Attorney's registration number <u>0082884, 0100501, 0089340</u>
Address of counsel or party The Pattakos Law Firm LLC	Address of counsel or party The Pattakos Law Firm LLC
101 Ghent Rd., Fairlawn, OH 44333	101 Ghent Rd., Fairlawn, OH 44333
Phone 330-836-8533 Fax 330-836-8536	Phone 330-836-8533 Fax 330-836-8536
Email peter@pattakoslaw.com; zbalac@pattakoslaw.com ggipson@pattakoslaw.com	Email _peter@pattakoslaw.com; zbalac@pattakoslaw.com ggipson@pattakoslaw.com
Party's name Monique Norris	Party's nameRichard Harbour
Party's designation Appellee/Cross-Appellant	Party's designation Appellee/Cross-Appellant
Attorney's name Peter Pattakos, Zoran Balac & Gregory Gipson	Attorney's name Peter Pattakos, Zoran Balac & Gregory Gipson
Attorney's registration number <u>0082884, 0100501, 0089340</u>	Attorney's registration number <u>0082884, 0100501, 0089340</u>
Address of counsel or party The Pattakos Law Firm LLC	Address of counsel or party The Pattakos Law Firm LLC
101 Ghent Rd., Fairlawn, OH 44333	101 Ghent Rd., Fairlawn, OH 44333
Phone 330-836-8533 Fax 330-836-8536	Phone 330-836-8533 Fax 330-836-8536
Email <u>peter@pattakoslaw.com; zbalac@pattakoslaw.com</u> ggipson@pattakoslaw.com	Email <u>peter@pattakoslaw.com; zbalac@pattakoslaw.com</u> ggipson@pattakoslaw.com
Party's name Kisling, Nestico & Redick LLC	Party's name_ Alberto R. Nestico
Party's designation Appellant/Cross-Appellee	Party's designation_ Appellant/Cross-Appellee
Attorney's name R. Eric Kennedy & Daniel P. Goetz	Attorney's name R. Eric Kennedy & Daniel P. Goetz
Attorney's registration number 0006174, 0065549	Attorney's registration number 0006174, 0065549
Address of counsel or party Weisman, Kennedy & Berris Co., LPA	Address of counsel or party Weisman, Kennedy & Berris Co., LPA
101 Prospect Ave, 1600 Midland Bldg, Cleveland, OH 44115	101 Prospect Ave, 1600 Midland Bldg, Cleveland, OH 44115
Phone 216-781-1111 Fax 216-781-6747	Phone 216-781-1111 Fax 216-781-6747
Emailekennedy@weismanlaw.com; dgoetz@weismanlaw.com	Email _ekennedy@weismanlaw.com; dgoetz@weismanlaw.com

·	
Party's name_Robert Redick	Party's name Sam Ghoubrial, M.D.
Party's designation Appellee/Cross-Appellant	Party's designation Appellee/Cross-Appellant
Attorney's name R. Eric Kennedy & Daniel P. Goetz	Attorney's name Bradley J. Barmen
Attorney's registration number 0006174, 0065549	Attorney's registration number 0076515
Address of counsel or party Weisman, Kennedy & Berris Co., LPA	Address of counsel or party <u>Lewis Brisbois</u>
101 Prospect Ave, 1600 Midland Bldg, Cleveland, OH 44115	1375 E. 9th Street, Suite 2250, Cleveland, OH 44114
Phone 330-836-8533 Fax 330-836-8536	Phone 216-344-9422 Fax 216-344-9421

02/26/2024 15:04:13 PM

02/26/2024 15:04:13 PM

Email ekennedy@weismanlaw.com; dgoetz@weismanlaw.com Email brad.barmen@lewisbrisbois.com

CROS

CROS

Page 5 of 9

Page 5 of 9

MICHAEL, KATHRYN

Appeals, Court of

CV-2016-09-3928

CA-31008

CV-2016-09-3928 CA-31008 Was a stay reques	MICHAEL, KATHRYN Appeals, Court of sted in the trial court?	02/26/2024 15:04:13 GENERAL INFO 02/26/2024 15:04:13 Yes X			Page 6 of 9 Page 6 of 9
· -	vas requested, how did			Denied	Pending
If this case has pr	reviously been before	this Court, list pric	or appellate case nun	nber(s): <u>29630, 29636.</u>	30602, 30604, 31031
List case names a	and numbers of cases	pending in this cou	ort that involve the sa	ame transaction or	controversy
involved in this a	ppeal:				
Probable issues fo	of all fees coll other participa on purported "	lected by Defendants in cases wants in Defendants' cash kickba "discounts" offered by Defenda	o account for evidence demonstra where KNR clients were treated b lick scheme; and (2) whether the t ants to the clients' they defrauded ulent nature of Defendants' schem	by Defendants Ghoubrial, Flor trial court erred in otherwise li l, which at most offset the frau	ros, and imiting the class based
			Felony Guilty/No cont	est plea	
Charges					
Sentence					
Type of Appeal:	Defendant'	's Appeal as of Rig 's Appeal by Leave		State's Appeal as of State's Appeal by L	_
		<u>CIVIL (</u>			
	trial court?				
	t dispose of all claims determination that the	•	-		es <u>x</u> No
	previously participate on conference assist in		-		Maybe
App App	e expedited as being of p.R. 11.2(B) or (C) app.R. 11.2(D) appeals (peal under determinated contests as proven	ppeals (abortion with dependent, abused tion of local fiscal e	thout parental consel, neglected, unruly, emergency brought b	nt, adoption, and p or delinquent child	parental rights)
	AT THE ABOVE INFO ATTACHED A COPY				
		_/s/ <i>Peter P</i> Signature (Pattakos of Counsel (or party if n	not represented by cou	

CROS CROS Page 7 of 9

TAVIA GALONSKI

2024 JAN 26 PM 1: 43

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS COUNTY OF SUMMIT

SUMMIT COUNTY CLERK OF COURTS

MEMBER WILLIAMS, et al.) CASE NO. CV 2016 09 3928
Plaintiffs	JUDGE JAMES A. BROGAN(Sitting by Assignment #18JA1214)
-VS-)
KISLING, NESTICO & REDICK, LLC, et al.) <u>DECISION</u>)
Defendants)

The Ninth District Court of Appeals remanded this matter to this Court for the second time. The Court of Appeals held that this Court failed to conduct a "rigorous analysis" of the requirements of Civ.R. 23(B) specifically, the predominance and superiority requirements of the Rule.

In <u>Cope v. Metropolitan Life Ins. Co.</u>, 82 Ohio St. 3d 426, the Ohio Supreme Court held that a class satisfies the predominance requirement when generalized evidence exists to prove or disprove an element on a simultaneous class wide basis, because such proof obviates the need to examine each class members' individual positions. The Supreme Court recognized that when a common fraud is perpetuated on a class of persons, those persons should be able to pursue an avenue of proof that is common to all members and involves standardized procedures by the defendants. See <u>Hamilton v. Ohio Savings Bank</u> (1998) 82 Ohio St. 3d 67 at 77.

and the first of the second se

CROS CROS Page 8 of 9 c

CA-31008 Appeals, Court of

CV-2016-09-3928

In this matter, this Court will certify as Class A only those patients and clients of the

defendants who were alleged victims of the price gouging scheme who did not receive a reduction

of their medical bills or fees and were told not to use their health insurance carriers to avoid scrutiny

of these charges and fees. These charges by Ghoubrial were for trigger point injections, TENS units

and back braces.

The fact that some of the patients and clients received more of the procedures or devices

than others should not prevent them being in the same class in this lawsuit.

Judge Henzel stated in Mozingo v. 2007 Gaslight Ohio, LLC (2016) Ohio 4828 the fact that

each of the class members may have a different amount of damages does not automatically make

the class unmanageable and not "superior" to other available methods for adjudication of the

controversy.

For the purposes of this class action, the "necessity" for the medical injections and devices

will be conceded. The Plaintiff will have to prove in the action that the prices for these items would

not be covered by the standard health insurance coverages for these individuals.

The defendants have not asserted in their motion to dismiss that any of the clients or patients

have attempted to start a parallel action or to intervene in one, and it seems unlikely in light of the

relatively small individual recoverys that would be sought.

Thera Reid qualifies as a class representative because she alleged in the complaint that she

was charged unreasonable rates for trigger point injections by Dr. Ghoubrial pursuant to the price

gouging scheme alleged in the complaint.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

JUDGE JAMES A. BROGAN

Sitting by Assignment #18JA1214

Pursuant to Art. IV, Sec. 6

Ohio Constitution

2

02/26/2024 15:04:13 PM 02/26/2024 15:04:13 PM CROS CROS Page 9 of 9 Page 9 of 9

The Clerk of Courts shall serve all counsel/parties of record.

JAB:lcb 16-3928remand3